

UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO K 01/11/00 UKAI 423-54 09/462,633 **EXAMINER** HM22/0810 NIXON & VANDERHYE PULLIAM, A 1100 NORTH GLEBE ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 8TH FLOOR 1615 ARLINGTON VA 22201-4714 DATE MAILED: 08/10/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
• •	•	09/462,633	UKAI ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Amy E Pulliam	1615
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	•	heet with the correspondence address
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing display patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however ly within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to b	um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	July 2001 .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ TI	nis action is non-fina	al.
3) □	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for forr Ex parte Quayle, 1	nal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	n.	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considerati	on.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requireme	ent.
Application	on Papers		
9)□ 1	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)[] T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)□ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved	b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office actio	n.
12) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	·
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•
13)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigi	n priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[2	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been receive	ed.
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been receive	ed in Application No
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•	
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	visional application	has been received.
\ttachment(p.i.e.ik, andor 00	5.5.5.33 120 dildioi 121.
) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:
. Patent and Tra O-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 9

Application/Control Number: 09/462,633

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the Request for CPA, received 7/30/01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 97/25066 to Depui *et al.* Depui *et al.* teach of an oral pharmaceutical formulation comprising a proton pump inhibitor, combined with an alkaline substance, protected by coatings. Depui *et al.* aso teach that examples of proton pump inhibitors are pantaprazole, lansoprazole, and omeprazole (p 8-11). Depui *et al.* also teach that acceptable alkaline substances can be sodium, potassium, calcium, and magnesium salts of phosphoric and carbonic acid, among others (p 15, I 1-5). Depui *et al.* also teach that the core formulation will be coated with a separating layer, an enteric coating, and can have additional coatings. This disclosure anticipates applicant's claims to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a benzimidizole and an additive (alkaline agent), with an intermediate layer, an enteric coating, and optional additional coatings.

Application/Control Number: 09/462,633

Art Unit: 1615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Depui et al. as applied above. Depui et al. does not specifically teach all of the possible choices for component B in applicant's claimed composition. However, Depui et al. does teach the combination of a benzimidazole with an alkaline agent, such as sodium, potassium, calcium, and magnesium salts of phosphoric and carbonic acid (p 15, I 1-5). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine any well known alkaline substance with a benzimidazole, based on the teachings of Depui et al.. in order to form a formulation for treatment of gastrointestinal disorders. Therefore, this invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Depui et al. as applied above, and further in view of US Patent 6,030,988 to Gilis et al.. Depui et al. is described above as teaching a formulation comprising a benzimidazole, an alkaline agent, an intermediate coating, an enteric coating and optional additional coatings. Depui et al. does not teach rabeprazole as a well known benzimidazole. Gilis et al. is relied upon for the teaching that omeprazole, rabeprazole, and lansoprazole are

Application/Control Number: 09/462,633

Art Unit: 1615

all well known proton pump inhibitors (c 5, I 15-18). Gilis *et al.* also teaches that crosslinked povidone is well known tablet excipient (c 6, I 56-60). One of ordinary skill in the art would have used any well known proton pump inhibitor in the composition taught by Depui *et al.*, as the drugs are all from the same family. The expected result would be a successful pharmaceutical formulation, regardless of which proton pump inhibitor is used. Therefore, this invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Claims 6, and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Depui *et al.* in view of Gills *et al.* as applied above, and further in view of US Patent 5,708,017 to Dave *et al.*. Depui *et al.* in view of Gills *et al.* are described above as teaching a formulation comprising a benzimidazole, an alkaline agent, an intermediate coating, an enteric coating and optional additional coatings. Depui *et al.* in view of Gills *et al.* do not teach that the composition have a moisture resistant coating. Dave *et al.* teach of an oral pharmaceutical composition containing a proton pump inhibitor.

Further, Dave *et al.* teach the proton pump inhibitors are known in the pharmaceutical art to be very acid labile and therefore, must be enteric coated. Dave *et al.* also teach that this enteric coating causes a great problem with moisture sensitivity. It is the position of the examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make one of the additional coatings allowed in the Depui composition a moisuture resistant coating base on the teachings of Dave *et al.*. The expected result would be a successful enteric coated formulation which is resistant to moisture. Therefore, this

Art Unit: 1615

invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy E Pulliam whose telephone number is (703) 308-4710. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3592 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

aep August 3, 2001